

10 Attorneys for Nominal Counterclaim Defendant
11 ISIS INNOVATION LIMITED

14	NATERA, INC.)	Case No. 5:12-cv-00132-SI
)	
15	Plaintiff,)	
	vs.)	
16)	ISIS INNOVATION, LIMITED'S
	SEQUENOM, INC. and ISIS INNOVATION)	ANSWER TO THE THIRD
17	LIMITED)	PARTY COMPLAINT OF
	Defendants.)	SEQUENOM, INC.
18	<hr/>)	
)	
19	SEQUENOM, INC.)	
)	
20	Counterclaim-Plaintiff,)	
	v.)	
21)	
)	
22	NATERA, INC. and)	
	DNA DIAGNOSTICS CENTER, INC.,)	
23)	
	Counterclaim-Defendants,)	
24	v.)	
)	
25)	
	ISIS INNOVATION LIMITED,)	
26)	
	Nominal Counterclaim-Defendant,)	
27)	

1 Nominal Counterclaim–Defendant Isis Innovation Limited (“Isis”), through its
2 undersigned counsel, hereby answers the Third Party Complaint of Sequenom, Inc. (Docket No.
3 40, denominated “Answer and Counterclaims of Defendant and Counterclaim-Plaintiff
4 Sequenom, Inc.” and hereinafter referred to as the “Counterclaim”), as follows:

5 1. Isis admits the allegations of paragraphs 1 and 2 of the Counterclaim.

6 2. Isis is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the
7 truth or falsity of the allegations of paragraphs 5 through 6 of the Counterclaim.

8 3. Isis admits the allegations of the first sentence of paragraph 7 of the
9 Counterclaim. Isis further admits that it has thus far not agreed to Sequenom’s request that Isis
10 join this lawsuit. The remaining allegations of paragraph 7 state legal conclusions that do not
11 require an answer.

12 4. The allegations of paragraph 8 of the Counterclaim state legal conclusions as to
13 which no answer is required.

14 5. Isis is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the
15 truth or falsity of the allegations of paragraph 9 and 10 of the Counterclaim.

16 6. In response to the allegations of paragraph 11 of the Counterclaim, Isis admits
17 that this Court has personal jurisdiction over Isis because Isis hereby consents to the
18 jurisdiction of this Court for the purposes of adjudicating the claims and defenses that may be
19 properly asserted in this action by the parties to this action. Isis denies that it has purposely
20 availed itself of the privilege of conducting activities within this State and District.

21 7. The allegations of paragraph 12 of the Counterclaim state legal conclusions as to
22 which no answer is required.

23 8. Isis admits the allegations of paragraphs 13 through 15 of the Counterclaim.

24 9. Isis is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the
25 truth or falsity of the allegations of paragraphs 17 through 25 of the Counterclaim.

13. Isis admits the allegations of paragraphs 32 through 34 of the Counterclaim.

SATTERLEE STEPHENS BURKE & BURKE LLP

Lauri Lint

maieta@ssbb.com

C. Todd Norris
(State Bar No. 181337)
todd.norris@bullivant.com
BULLIVANT HOUSER BAILEY PC
601 California Street, Suite 1800
San Francisco, CA 94108-2823
Phone: 415.352.2700
Fax: 415.352.2701

1418817_1